



College Station, TX

City Hall
1101 Texas Ave
College Station, TX 77840

Meeting Agenda - Final

City Council Workshop

Thursday, June 23, 2016

4:30 PM

City Hall Council Chambers

1. Call meeting to order.
2. Executive Session will be held in the Administrative Conference Room.

Consultation with Attorney {Gov't Code Section 551.071}; possible action. The City Council may seek advice from its attorney regarding a pending or contemplated litigation subject or settlement offer or attorney-client privileged information. Litigation is an ongoing process and questions may arise as to a litigation tactic or settlement offer, which needs to be discussed with the City Council. Upon occasion the City Council may need information from its attorney as to the status of a pending or contemplated litigation subject or settlement offer or attorney-client privileged information. After executive session discussion, any final action or vote taken will be in public. The following subject(s) may be discussed:

Litigation

- a. Juliao v. City of College Station, Cause No. 14-002168-CV-272, In the 272nd District Court of Brazos County, Texas
- b. Kathryn A. Stever-Harper as Executrix for the Estate of John Wesley Harper v. City of College Station and Judy Meeks; No. 15,977-PC in the County Court No. 1, Brazos County, Texas

Legal Advice

- a. Legal issues related to the contracts associated with the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) System

Competitive Matters {Gov't Code Section 551.086}; possible action: The City Council may deliberate, vote, or take final action on a competitive matter as that term is defined in Gov't Code Section 552.133 in closed session. The following is a general representation of the subject matter to be considered:

3. Take action, if any, on Executive Session.
4. Presentation, possible action and discussion on items listed on the consent agenda.
5. [16-0179](#) Presentation, possible action, and discussion of the proposed FY 2017 Community Development Budget and PY 2016 Action Plan to be submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban

Development as per the requirements of the Community Development Block Grant and HOME Investment Partnership Program grant.

Sponsors: Eller

Attachments: [Attachment 1 -FY 2017 Proposed Community Development Budget.docx](#)
[Attachment 2- FY 2017 Plan Development Process Summary](#)
[Attachment 3 - FY 2017 CDBG Public Service Funding Summary & Recommen](#)
[Attachment 4 - FY 2017 CDBG Public Facility Funding Summary & Recommen](#)
[Attachment 5 - 2015-2019 Community Development Goals](#)
[Attachment 6 - 2016 Income Limits](#)
[Attachment 7 - Map](#)
[Attachment 8 Community Development Project Descriptions](#)

6. [16-0352](#) Presentation, possible action, and discussion regarding a progress update on Water and Wastewater Impact Fees and Roadway Impact Fees.

Sponsors: Gibbs and Coleman

7. [16-0368](#) Presentation and discussion on the 2016 Citizens Survey.

Sponsors: Killian

Attachments: [College Station 2016 Report Final](#)

8. [16-0370](#) Presentation, possible action, and discussion regarding the 2015 Compliance Report by the Research Valley Partnership.

Sponsors: Prochazka

9. Council Calendar - Council may discuss upcoming events.

10. Presentation, possible action, and discussion on future agenda items and review of standing list of Council generated agenda items: A Council Member may inquire about a subject for which notice has not been given. A statement of specific factual information or the recitation of existing policy may be given. Any deliberation shall be limited to a proposal to place the subject on an agenda for a subsequent meeting.


11. Discussion, review and possible action regarding the following meetings: Animal Shelter Board, Annexation Task Force, Arts Council of Brazos Valley, Arts Council Sub-committee, Audit Committee, Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Greenways Advisory Board, Bio-Corridor Board of Adjustments, Blinn College Brazos Valley Advisory Committee, Brazos County Health Dept., Brazos Valley Council of Governments, Bryan/College Station Chamber of Commerce, Budget and Finance Committee, BVSWMA, BVWACS, Compensation and Benefits Committee, Convention & Visitors Bureau, Design Review Board, Economic Development Committee, Gigabit Broadband Initiative, Historic Preservation Committee, Interfaith Dialogue Association,

Intergovernmental Committee, Joint Relief Funding Review Committee, Landmark Commission, Library Board, Metropolitan Planning Organization, Parks and Recreation Board, Planning and Zoning Commission, Research Valley Partnership, Research Valley Technology Council, Regional Transportation Committee for Council of Governments, Sister Cities Association, Transportation and Mobility Committee, TAMU Student Senate, Texas Municipal League, Twin City Endowment, YMCA, Youth Advisory Council, Zoning Board of Adjustments, (Notice of Agendas posted on City Hall bulletin board).

12. Adjourn

The City Council may adjourn into Executive Session to consider any item listed on this agenda if a matter is raised that is appropriate for Executive Session discussion. An announcement will be made of the basis for the Executive Session discussion.

APPROVED

For City Manager


Lee S Mash

I certify that the above Notice of Meeting was posted at College Station City Hall, 1101 Texas Avenue, College Station, Texas, on June 17, 2016 at 5:00 p.m.


City Secretary

henry arm

This building is wheelchair accessible. Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need accommodations, auxiliary aids, or services such as interpreters, readers, or large print are asked to contact the City Secretary's Office at (979) 764-3541, TDD at 1-800-735-2989, or email adaassistance@cstx.gov at least two business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made. If the City does not receive notification at least two business days prior to the meeting, the City will make a reasonable attempt to provide the necessary accommodations.

Penal Code §30.07 Trespass by License Holder with an Openly Carried Handgun.

"Pursuant to Section 30.07, Penal Code (Trespass by License Holder with an Openly Carried Handgun) A Person Licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (Handgun Licensing Law), may not enter this Property with a Handgun that is Carried Openly."

Codigo Penal §30.07 Traspasar Portando Armas de Mano al Aire Libre con Licencia.

"Conforme a la Seccion 30.07 del codigo penal (traspasar portando armas de mano al aire libre con licencia), personas con licencia bajo del Sub-Capitulo H, Capitulo 411, Codigo de Gobierno (Ley de licencias de arma de mano), no deben

entrar a esta propiedad portando arma de mano al aire libre.”



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 16-0179 **Version:** 1 **Name:** FY 2017 Community Development Budget and proposed Annual Action Plan

Type: Presentation **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 3/23/2016 **In control:** City Council Workshop

On agenda: 6/23/2016 **Final action:**

Title: Presentation, possible action, and discussion of the proposed FY 2017 Community Development Budget and PY 2016 Action Plan to be submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development as per the requirements of the Community Development Block Grant and HOME Investment Partnership Program grant.

Sponsors: Debbie Eller

Indexes:

Code sections:

- Attachments:**
- [Attachment 1 -FY 2017 Proposed Community Development Budget.pdf](#)
 - [Attachment 2- FY 2017 Plan Development Process Summary](#)
 - [Attachment 3 - FY 2017 CDBG Public Service Funding Summary & Recommendations](#)
 - [Attachment 4 - FY 2017 CDBG Public Facility Funding Summary & Recommendations](#)
 - [Attachment 5 - 2015-2019 Community Development Goals](#)
 - [Attachment 6 - 2016 Income Limits](#)
 - [Attachment 7 - Map](#)
 - [Attachment 8 Community Development Project Descriptions](#)

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

Presentation, possible action, and discussion of the proposed FY 2017 Community Development Budget and PY 2016 Action Plan to be submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development as per the requirements of the Community Development Block Grant and HOME Investment Partnership Program grant.

Relationship to Strategic Goals:

- Financially Sustainable City
- Core Services and Infrastructure
- Neighborhood Integrity
- Diverse Growing Economy

Recommendation(s): Staff recommends that Council receive a presentation regarding the proposed FY 2017 Community Development Budget and PY 2016 Action Plan and provide any feedback and or direction as desired.

Summary: Staff will present the proposed 2017 Community Development Budget and PY 2016 Action Plan. Included in the Plan are goals, objectives, and funding recommendations for projects and programs. Each year, the City is required to submit to the U.S. Department of Housing and

Urban Development (HUD) a one year Action Plan describing projects and activities to be funded with the community development grants received. Action Plan activities must correspond to the 2015 - 2019 Consolidated Plan.

HUD requires that the Action Plan and Budget be delivered by August 16, 2016, therefore these are presented prior to the Councils consideration of the overall City budget. The new grant amounts available for PY 2016 includes \$983,111 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds and \$372,121 in HOME Investment Partnership Grant (HOME) funds. The budget includes previously programmed but unspent grant funds from current years in the amount of \$291,526 in CDBG and \$747,466 in HOME, in addition to expected program income in the amount of \$33,930 (HOME) to be received from reconstruction loans executed in previous years and recaptured funds from the disposition of the Holleman property in the amount of \$2,386,933 (CDBG).

CDBG and HOME funds may only be used to: (1) benefit low- and moderate-income persons; (2) aid in the elimination of slum and blighting influences, and/or; (3) meet a particular urgent need. Further, CDBG funds may be used to meet local needs through a wide range of community development activities, while HOME funds may only be used for affordable housing activities.

The proposed plans and budget were developed using input received from a series of public hearings, program committee meetings, and citizen input. The goals and objectives in the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan were followed in preparing this years Plan. These goals and objectives were prepared to meet the specific needs of lower-income citizens, and to provide support for families working towards self-sufficiency and are now being presented to Council for discussion and input. Staff will return to Council at the July 28th meeting to make available its final draft of the Action Plan, Budget and to request approval.

Historically, the City has utilized these funds for a variety of programs and activities, including: affordable housing programs (homebuyer assistance, security deposit assistance, rehabilitation, leveraged development for new construction, and minor repairs); funding of direct services to low-income families; economic development; code enforcement; acquisition; demolition; and park, street, infrastructure and public facility improvement in low-income areas of the city.

Budget & Financial Summary: See attached financial summaries for the proposed FY 2017 Community Development Budget for CDBG and HOME funds. Staff will be prepared to answer questions regarding the proposed plans and/or budget

Attachments:

- Attachment 1: Proposed FY 2017 Community Development Budget Summary
- Attachment 2: Plan Development Summary
- Attachment 3: Proposed FY 2017 Public Service Funding Recommendations
- Attachment 4: Proposed FY 2017 Public Facility Funding Recommendations
- Attachment 5: PY 2015 - 2019 Community Development Goals
- Attachment 6: 2016 Median Income Limits
- Attachment 7: Map of Eligible Community Development Areas
- Attachment 8: Community Development Project Descriptions
- Attachment 9: Proposed FY 2017 (PY 2016) Action Plan and Budget - Available for Review in CSO

Attachment 1: FY 2017 Proposed Community Development Budget

PROJECT	CDBG & HOME CARRY-OVER	CDBG & HOME NEW ALLOCATIONS	CDBG & HOME TOTAL PROPOSED
Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation	\$102,067	**\$121,555	\$223,622
Acquisition	\$0	***\$889,687	\$889,687
Demolition	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000
Interim Assistance	\$2,500	\$0	\$2,500
Homebuyer Assistance	\$145,502	\$185,579	\$331,081
CHDO	\$228,152	\$55,864	\$284,016
CHDO Operating Expenses	\$8,960	\$18,621	\$27,581
Construction/Leveraged Development	\$259,135	\$0	\$259,135
Housing Services	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000
Rental Rehabilitation	\$6,917	\$0	\$6,917
Code Enforcement	\$2,602	\$63,170	\$65,772
Tenant Based Rental Assistance	\$0	\$12,500	\$12,500
Public Service Agency (See Attachment 3)	*\$38,732	\$147,466	\$186,198
Public Facility (See Attachment 4)	\$184,424	***\$1,978,159	\$2,162,583
Economic Development	\$50,000	***\$50,000	\$100,000
Grant Administration	\$0	\$233,865	\$233,865
Recaptured Funds/ Program Income		CDBG HOME	***\$2,386,996 **\$33,930
Total Community Development Budget			\$4,815,457

* Carry-over funds represent 4th quarter payments for FY 2016

** HOME Loan proceeds to be utilized for Rehabilitation when realized

*** Includes FY 17 CDBG Grant and anticipated proceeds from sale of Holleman

Attachment 2: Consolidated Plan and Budget Development Process Summary, FY 2017

Event	Date
Pre-proposal workshop for agencies	Feb. 15, 2016
Public hearing on Consolidated Plan and Budget (Lincoln Center)	Mar. 8, 2016
JRFRC proposals due	Mar. 24, 2016
JRFRC Meeting	Apr. 7, 2016 Apr. 14, 2016 Apr. 21, 2016 Apr. 28, 2016 May 5, 2016 May 18, 2016
JRFRC Public Service Agency public hearing	May 18, 2016
30-Day Public Comment Period begins	June 22, 2016
First presentation of Consolidated Plan and Budget to City Council	June 23, 2016
Public hearing to present goal and objectives and public comments regarding the proposed PY 2016 Annual Action Plan (Lincoln Center)	July 5, 2016
30-Day Public Comment Period ends	July 21, 2016
Request council approval by consent agenda of PY 2016 Annual Action Plan and Budget	July 28, 2016
PY 2016 Annual Action Plan due to HUD no later than	Aug. 16, 2016

Attachment 3: FY 2017 CDBG Public Service Funding Summary & Recommendations

Agency	Program	Requested	Recommended Funding	Funded Items	Funding City
Big Brothers Big Sisters of South Texas	Brazos Valley Mentoring Program	\$32,000	\$25,606	Service Delivery Coordinator	College Station
Brazos Maternal & Child Health Clinic, Inc.	The Prenatal Clinic	\$50,000	\$30,000	Medical Items & Testing Medical Provider	Bryan
Catholic Charities	BV Financial Stability Program	\$50,000	\$21,432	Case Manager, Program Manager, Benefits, Rent/Utility Asst.	Bryan & College Station
Easter Seals East Texas, dba Brazos Valley Rehabilitation Center	Counseling and Case Management Program	\$45,000	\$33,750	Social Worker Contracted Services	College Station
Family Promise of Bryan-College Station	Case Management	\$25,000	\$20,000	Case Management	College Station
Mental Health Mental Retardation Authority of Brazos Valley	Mary Lake Peer Support Center	\$39,499	\$32,715	Therapist, Utilities, Auto, Maintenance	Bryan
Twin City Mission, Inc.	Case Manager/Client Assistance Program	\$36,362	\$27,489	Case Manager, Client Assistance	College Station
Unity Partners dba Project Unity	Safe Harbour Supervised Visitation Program	\$40,000	\$30,000	Personnel, FICA, Security	College Station
Voices for Children	Court Appointed Special Advocates of BV	\$32,715	\$19,755	Personnel/Salaries Benefits	Bryan
BV Affordable Housing Corporation	BV Financial Fitness Center	\$39,145	\$0		
Heart of Texas Goodwill Industries, Inc.	GoodPath	\$39,111	\$0		
Total		\$428,832	\$240,747		

Attachment 4: FY 2017 CDBG Public Facility Funding Summary & Recommendations

Activity	Recommended Funding	Description
Nimitz Street Rehabilitation	\$184,424	Funds were utilized in FY 14 for engineering and design of a standard 2-lane local street with sidewalk on Nimitz from Lincoln Avenue to Ash Street. The current street configuration is a substandard rural section and is not centered in the right-of-way and within 5 feet of several single-family dwellings on the western side lots. FY 15 funds will be used to complete easement acquisition and begin construction.
San Saba Sidewalk Construction	\$188,578	FY 17 funds will be utilized to construct sidewalks on San Saba. Design was completed in FY 16 with CDBG funds.
Northeast Sewer Trunkline PH1	\$1,497,306	Funds from the FY 2107 grant and recaptured funds generated from the sale of the Holleman property will be utilized for design of the upgrade to this trunkline and Phase 1 of the construction.
Georgie K. Fitch Park Improvements	\$127,875	FY 17 funds will be used for the design and construction of sidewalks connecting a parking lot throughout the park including the library.
Southwest Parkway North Sidewalks – PH1	\$164,400	FY 17 funds will be used for the design of sidewalks construction & improvements to meet ADA requirements and construction of sidewalks included in Phase 1 of the project.
Total	\$2,162,583	

Attachment 5: PY 2015-2019 Community Development Goals

Goals Summary Information

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
1	Rental Housing - Rehabilitation	2015	2019	Affordable Housing		Rental Housing Special Needs	HOME: \$75,000	Rental units rehabilitated: 10 Household Housing Unit
2	Rental Housing - Construction	2015	2019	Affordable Housing		Rental Housing Special Needs	HOME: \$300,000	Rental units constructed: 50 Household Housing Unit
3	Owner Housing - Rehabilitation/Reconstruction	2015	2019	Affordable Housing		Owner-Occupied Housing	CDBG: \$50,000 HOME: \$275,000	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated: 16 Household Housing Unit
4	Owner Housing - Demolition	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Owner-Occupied Housing Public Facilities & Infrastructure	CDBG: \$50,000	Buildings Demolished: 5 Buildings
5	Rental/Owner Housing - Code Enforcement	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Rental Housing Owner-Occupied Housing	CDBG: \$500,000	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care: 25000 Household Housing Unit
6	Homeownership - Down Payment Assistance	2015	2019	Affordable Housing		Homeownership	HOME: \$500,000	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers: 25 Households Assisted
7	Homeownership - Financial Literacy	2015	2019	Affordable Housing		Homeownership		Other: 375 Other
8	Homeownership - Construction	2015	2019	Affordable Housing		Owner-Occupied Housing Homeownership	CDBG: \$400,000 HOME: \$400,000	Homeowner Housing Added: 4 Household Housing Unit

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
9	Homelessness - TBRA Security Deposits	2015	2019	Homeless		Rental Housing Homelessness Special Needs	HOME: \$125,000	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing: 375 Households Assisted
10	Homelessness - Outreach and Assessment	2015	2019	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development		Homelessness Special Needs Public Services	CDBG: \$10,000	Other: 20 Other
11	Homelessness - Services	2015	2019	Homeless		Homelessness Public Services	CDBG: \$70,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 1200 Persons Assisted
12	Special Needs - Services	2015	2019	Non-Homeless Special Needs		Special Needs Public Services	CDBG: \$150,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 1100 Persons Assisted
13	Public Services - Health Care Services	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Special Needs Public Services	CDBG: \$350,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 3600 Persons Assisted
14	Public Services - Youth Services	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Services	CDBG: \$110,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 850 Persons Assisted
15	Public Services - Senior Services	2015	2019	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development		Special Needs Public Services	CDBG: \$20,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 100 Persons Assisted

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
16	Public Services - Domestic Abuse & Neglect	2015	2019	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development		Special Needs Public Services	CDBG: \$80,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 850 Persons Assisted
17	Public Services - Other	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Services	CDBG: \$20,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 100 Persons Assisted
18	Public Facilities - Street Infrastructure	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facilities & Infrastructure	CDBG: \$1,500,000	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 25000 Persons Assisted
19	Public Facilities - Sidewalk Infrastructure	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facilities & Infrastructure	CDBG: \$500,000	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 15000 Persons Assisted
20	Public Facilities - Other Infrastructure	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facilities & Infrastructure	CDBG: \$100,000	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 5000 Persons Assisted
21	Public Facilities - Park Improvements	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Public Facilities & Infrastructure	CDBG: \$500,000	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 20000 Persons Assisted
22	Economic Development - Business Loan Program	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Economic Development	CDBG: \$150,000	Jobs created/retained: 25 Jobs

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
23	Economic Development - Job Training	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development		Economic Development	CDBG: \$50,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 250 Persons Assisted

Goal Descriptions

1	Goal Name	Rental Housing - Rehabilitation
	Goal Description	Encourage and facilitate the rehabilitation of rental units.
2	Goal Name	Rental Housing - Construction
	Goal Description	Encourage and facilitate the construction of new affordable rental units through nonprofit or for-profit partners. Additional sources of funds include tax credits through the State of Texas and private funds.
3	Goal Name	Owner Housing - Rehabilitation/Reconstruction
	Goal Description	Encourage and facilitate maintenance of residential units by low- and moderate-income homeowners through minor repair grants (CDBG) and residential rehabilitation or reconstruction loans (HOME).
4	Goal Name	Owner Housing - Demolition
	Goal Description	Encourage and facilitate the removal of dilapidated residential structures and/or address community emergencies.
5	Goal Name	Rental/Owner Housing - Code Enforcement
	Goal Description	Utilize code enforcement regulations to maintain the integrity of older neighborhoods. Funds will support Code Enforcement Officer position(s).
6	Goal Name	Homeownership - Down Payment Assistance
	Goal Description	Encourage and support programs and projects that provide financial assistance to low- and moderate-income households purchasing existing or new affordable homes.

7	Goal Name	Homeownership - Financial Literacy
	Goal Description	Encourage and support programs and projects that provide education and counseling to lower-income homeowners and prospective homebuyers.
8	Goal Name	Homeownership - Construction
	Goal Description	Encourage and support programs and projects that construct new housing units for low- and moderate-income homebuyers.
9	Goal Name	Homelessness - TBRA Security Deposits
	Goal Description	Preventing homelessness through the provision of assistance for low-income households to secure and sustain safe, decent affordable housing. This is a coordinated effort among affordable housing providers and the City to provide security deposit assistance to eligible households.
10	Goal Name	Homelessness - Outreach and Assessment
	Goal Description	Fostering coordination, collaboration, and increased resources to assess community needs, available services, and service gaps. This information may be used to target and improve service provision.
11	Goal Name	Homelessness - Services
	Goal Description	Assist homeless persons in meeting health and human service needs; provide training and counseling opportunities to help with the transition to self-sufficiency. This goal will be met through public service provision.
12	Goal Name	Special Needs - Services
	Goal Description	Encourage and facilitate organizations that provide social and/or housing services to special needs populations.
13	Goal Name	Public Services - Health Care Services
	Goal Description	Encourage and support nonprofit providers of health and dental care to deliver programs to low- and moderate-income families and individuals.
14	Goal Name	Public Services - Youth Services
	Goal Description	Encourage and support nonprofit providers of youth services and programs to deliver programs to low- and moderate-income families.

15	Goal Name	Public Services - Senior Services
	Goal Description	Encourage and support nonprofit providers of mental health care and substance abuse counseling services to deliver programs to low- and moderate-income families and individuals.
16	Goal Name	Public Services - Domestic Abuse & Neglect
	Goal Description	Encourage and support nonprofit providers of domestic abuse and neglect services and programs to deliver programs to low- and moderate-income families.
17	Goal Name	Public Services - Other
	Goal Description	Encourage and support nonprofit providers of other public services and programs to deliver programs to low- and moderate-income families.
18	Goal Name	Public Facilities - Street Infrastructure
	Goal Description	Rehabilitation and expansion of street infrastructure.
19	Goal Name	Public Facilities - Sidewalk Infrastructure
	Goal Description	Rehabilitation and expansion of sidewalk infrastructure.
20	Goal Name	Public Facilities - Other Infrastructure
	Goal Description	Rehabilitation and expansion of other infrastructure, including water and sewer lines and flood drain improvements.
21	Goal Name	Public Facilities - Park Improvements
	Goal Description	Improve or expand park facilities including green space, neighborhood parks, and recreational facilities.
22	Goal Name	Economic Development - Business Loan Program
	Goal Description	Rehabilitate and/or develop new spaces for businesses to better realize job creation.

23	Goal Name	Economic Development - Job Training
	Goal Description	Support and expand community-wide training and employment activities targeting low- and moderate-income households.

Attachment 6: 2016 Median Income Limits

**2016 MEDIAN INCOME LIMITS
City of College Station
Community Development**

This list supersedes all other lists of prior dates.

Household	60%	80%
1	\$24,780	\$33,000
2	\$28,320	\$37,700
3	\$31,860	\$42,400
4	\$35,340	\$47,100
5	\$38,220	\$50,900
6	\$41,040	\$54,650
7	\$43,860	\$58,450
8	\$46,680	\$62,200

The left column (Household) refers to the number of people in the home. The two columns on the right refer to the maximum combined income allowed per year by HUD guidelines in order to qualify for a Community Development program at 60% and 80% of the Area Median Income (AMI).

Attachment 7: Map of Eligible Community Development Areas



This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries. No warranty is made by the City of College Station regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

Attachment 8: Community Development Project Descriptions

Owner-Occupied Housing Assistance

HOME and CDBG funds will be used for housing rehabilitation, minor repairs, weatherization, home security, and reconstruction for low-moderate income homeowners; the removal of architectural barriers; and the inspection, testing and abatement of lead hazards. Funds will also be used for program delivery costs including staff salaries and benefits.

Demolition

CDBG funds will be used for clearance, demolition, and removal of dilapidated structures that have been deemed uninhabitable in accordance with City codes, including the movement of structure to other sites. Funds will also be used for program delivery costs including staff salaries and benefits.

Interim Assistance

In case of a community emergency affecting the health and safety of residents, CDBG funds will be utilized to address immediate threats and for financial and technical assistance to coordinate clean-up efforts to eligible households.

Homebuyer Assistance

Down payment and closing cost assistance provided to eligible, qualified homebuyers through deferred no interest loans, which include a shared equity component, with HOME funds. Funds will be used for program delivery costs including staff salaries and benefits.

Community Housing Development Organization

HOME funds will be made available to an eligible CHDO for the acquisition, development and construction of affordable housing units or the rehabilitation of existing housing units.

CHDO Operating Expenses

HOME funds are allowable for 5% of grant for operating/administration expenses incurred by eligible CHDO to build capacity to carry out current and future CHDO activities.

Construction – Leveraged Development and Non-Profit Partners

HOME funds will be used to facilitate the development of new affordable housing or the renovation of existing housing for low-income residents. Activities may include the acquisition of land, soft costs, or construction of single-family or multi-family units.

Housing Services

CDBG funds will be used for costs associated with processing applicants for all HOME housing assistance programs and marketing efforts. Expenses will include staff salaries and benefits and homebuyer/homeowner counseling program.

Rental Rehabilitation

HOME funds will be matched with private funds to rehabilitate rental properties that will maintain affordable rents for low-income households for a specified period of time following the completion of the project. Projects will be selected based on the following priorities: bringing the unit up to City Codes and HUD standards, upgrade systems, energy conservation upgrades, exterior repairs, and other upgrades that increase marketability.

Code Enforcement

CDBG funds will be used for salary and benefits to support code enforcement activities in targeted low-to-moderate income areas in College Station. Two officers in the Community Services Department focus efforts in targeted areas.

Tenant Based Rental Assistance

Using HOME funds, CD staff will administer a security deposit assistance program for low income individuals and families who will reside in housing units located in a HTC property located in College Station. Current properties include The Haven Apartments, The Heritage at Dartmouth, and Santour Court. Other eligible properties include Terrace Pines Apartments and Villas of Rock Prairie. CD staff will work with the Housing Choice Voucher Program to provide security deposit assistance to qualified voucher holders securing housing in College Station. CD Staff will also work with BVCAA, which offers affordable rental units to lower-income households in College Station.

Public Services

15% of the City's CDBG fund allocation will be used in partnership with CDBG funds from the City of Bryan to fund non-profit social service agencies in the community. The Joint Relief Funding Review Committee, a Citizen Committee comprised of three members from the City of College Station and three from the City of Bryan, review program proposals from area nonprofits and recommend funding amounts based on their review. Funds are awarded to nonprofit programs who serve primarily low and moderate income residents of College Station and Bryan.

Public Facility

Funds will be used to design, engineer, construct, or rehabilitate streets, sidewalks, parks, water and wastewater utilities, or other infrastructure improvements in College Station.

Economic Development

Funds will be utilized in the establishment of a revolving loan program to spur economic development and create or retain jobs for qualified low- and moderate-income persons.

Program Administration

HOME and CDBG funds will be used for management, planning and administration of the City's PY 2014 CDBG, HOME and other eligible grant programs for LMI citizens. Staff will provide capacity building and technical assistance as needed to citizens, builders, developers, and service providers. Funds from the administrative budget are made available to Project Unity to provide planning and reporting support to CD staff and coordinate a variety of community meetings to address the needs of low- and moderate-income residents, available services, and resources among local service providers. The City will utilize administrative funds to provide education to the community regarding Federal Fair Housing laws and affirmatively further fair housing in College Station.



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 16-0352 **Version:** 1 **Name:** Progress Update on Impact Fees
Type: Presentation **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 6/3/2016 **In control:** City Council Workshop
On agenda: 6/23/2016 **Final action:**
Title: Presentation, possible action, and discussion regarding a progress update on Water and Wastewater Impact Fees and Roadway Impact Fees.
Sponsors: Alan Gibbs, David Coleman
Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments:

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

Presentation, possible action, and discussion regarding a progress update on Water and Wastewater Impact Fees and Roadway Impact Fees.

Relationship to Strategic Goals:

- Good Governance
- Financially Sustainable City
- Core Services and Infrastructure
- Neighborhood Integrity
- Diverse Growing Economy
- Improving Mobility

Recommendation(s): N/A

Summary: In November 2015, City Council directed staff to prepare contracts for engineering firms to perform studies, conforming to State law, regarding possible implementation of impact fees for water/wastewater and roadways. In January 2016, City Council executed engineering contracts with Freese and Nichols, Inc. and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., respectively. In February 2016, City Council established the Impact Fee Advisory Committees (IFACs). These efforts have progressed with initial reports and meetings with the consultants and IFACs.

Over the next three months, staff will be bringing several items for City Council action related to the subject impact fees in accordance with state law. This presentation will highlight background, progress, and future items related to these efforts.



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 16-0368 **Version:** 1 **Name:** 2016 Citizen Survey
Type: Presentation **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 6/7/2016 **In control:** City Council Workshop
On agenda: 6/23/2016 **Final action:**
Title: Presentation and discussion on the 2016 Citizens Survey.
Sponsors: Colin Killian
Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments: [College Station 2016 Report Final](#)

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

Presentation and discussion on the 2016 Citizens Survey.

Relationship to Strategic Goals:

- Good Governance
- Financially Sustainable City
- Core Services and Infrastructure
- Neighborhood Integrity
- Diverse Growing Economy
- Improving Mobility
- Sustainable City

Recommendation(s): Staff recommends Council receive the report.

Summary: From April 1-30, National Service Research (NSR) of Fort Worth conducted a survey of College Station residents to help the city assess and prioritize a wide range of services and needs. The surveys were mailed to 8,000 randomly-selected households, which were also given the option of completing the survey online. Any College Station resident could complete the online survey. Participants were asked to rate various city services, quality of life issues and community characteristics, and to rank their priorities.

The survey was publicized through local media outlets along with the city’s website, cable TV channel and social media. A total of 2,015 responses were received, including 1,472 online and 543 by mail. The sample also included 511 students from Texas A&M and Blinn College. The margin of error for this sample size at a 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 2.2 percent.

A representative sample was received from four geographic areas within the city. In addition, the survey includes a benchmark comparison with other Texas cities that conducted surveys within the last two years.

NSR performed several surveys for the City of College Station in the late 1990s and early 2000s, as well as the city's last survey in 2012.

Budget & Financial Summary: The city paid NSR \$9,850 to administer the survey. Other firms were considered, but NSR was selected because of its experience in conducting city surveys and its low bid.

Attachments:

2016 NSR Citizen Survey Report



CITY OF COLLEGE STATION
Home of Texas A&M University®

Citizen Survey

April 2016

NATIONAL
SERVICE
RESEARCH
MARKET RESEARCH

Table of Contents

	Page
Survey Objectives	3
Methodology	4
Geographic Survey Distribution	6
City Service Priorities	7
Utility Service Ratings	15
Quality of Life	17
Importance of Community Characteristics	27
City Employees and Service	32
Public Safety	35
City Communication Efforts	38
Municipal Facilities	42
Benchmark Data	47
Demographics	53
Conclusions	57
National Service Research – Background/Contact Information	62



Survey Objectives

Identify Key Measures of Quality of Life

Satisfaction with City Services (Quality vs. Importance)

Assess Experience with City Communication Tools

Identify and Prioritize City Resource Allocation, Budgeting and Policy Decisions

Identify Where to Maintain and Improve City Services



Methodology

- ✓ The sampling plan included a mailed survey to 8,000 households proportionately distributed within four geographic areas. Households had the option of completing the mailed survey or completing the survey online via the City website.
- ✓ Residents were informed about the survey through a multifaceted approach:
 - ✓ Press releases from the City (one introductory release prior to the survey mailing)
 - ✓ Mailed survey to 8,000 households
 - ✓ Promotional video - YouTube, city cable channel, social media (on-going throughout the data collection period)
 - ✓ Email messages to all homeowner associations (on-going throughout the data collection period)
 - ✓ Multiple social media posts – Facebook, Twitter (on-going throughout the data collection period)
 - ✓ Paid Facebook ads (targeted toward all residents and specific demographic groups)
 - ✓ City website front page online survey link (on-going throughout the data collection period)
- ✓ Surveys were mailed on March 25, 2016.
- ✓ Survey cut-off date was April 30, 2016.
- ✓ A total of 543 responded to the mailed survey and 1,472 responded to the online survey. The margin of error of this sample size (2,015) at a 95% confidence level is plus or minus 2.2%.
- ✓ The citizen survey and detailed survey tables are presented in the Appendix of the technical volume report.



Methodology

Survey Design


National Service Research (NSR) worked closely with the City of College Station staff throughout the research process. The survey design was based upon the 2012 citizen survey with additional input from city staff.

This study provides a measurement of how citizens feel about city service delivery and programs. The data should be considered along with other factors such as input from city officials and city staff when making budget and policy decisions.



Geographic Survey Distribution

Q. In what area of College Station do you live?





City Service Priorities

THE GAP – City Service Importance vs. Quality Rating


RANK 1 - 10

Q. How important are these city services?
Q. How would you rate the quality of these City of College Station Services?

QUALITY ratings shown herein are for EXCELLENT/GOOD scores.

IMPORTANCE ratings shown herein are for VERY IMPORTANT, SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT scores.

RANK - Lists the city services in rank order based upon the respondents first, second and third most important service.



THE GAP – City Service Importance vs. Quality Rating


RANK 11 - 20

Q. How important are these city services?
 Q. How would you rate the quality of these City of College Station Services?


QUALITY ratings shown herein are for EXCELLENT/GOOD scores.

IMPORTANCE ratings shown herein are for VERY IMPORTANT, SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT scores.


RANK - Lists the city services in rank order based upon the respondents first, second and third most important service.



THE BIGGEST GAP – Managing Traffic Congestion 98% Importance Rating and 28% Quality Rating



Service Prioritization



GAP = difference between importance versus quality ranking

Service Prioritization

- **Maintain Efforts** (High importance and high quality)
 - This area shows where the City is meeting residents' expectations. Items in this area have a significant impact on the resident's overall level of satisfaction. The City should maintain (or slightly increase) emphasis on items in this area.
- **Increase Efforts - Opportunities for Improvement** (High importance, lower quality)
 - This area shows where the City is not performing as well as residents expect. Items in this area have an impact on citizen satisfaction and the City should increase emphasis on items in this area.
- **Exceeded Expectations** (Less importance, high quality)
 - This area shows where the City is performing significantly better than residents expect. Items in this area do not significantly affect overall satisfaction. The City should maintain (or possible reduce) emphasis on items this area.
- **Less Important** (Lower importance, lower quality)
 - This area shows where the City is not performing well relative to its performance in other areas. However, this area is generally considered to be less important. This area does not significantly affect overall satisfaction with City services because these items are less important to residents. The City should maintain current levels of emphasis in these areas.
- ***RANK*** - Lists the city services in rank order based upon the respondents first, second and third most important service.

Comments – Specific City Services or Departments

Q. Do you have any additional comments about specific city services or departments?




Word Clouds

Several questions throughout the report were asked in an open-ended fashion which allowed respondents to answer without being prompted or restricted to a particular list of answer options. For these responses NSR prepared “word clouds”. Word that were mentioned more often appear larger and words that were mentioned less often appear smaller.

Comments – Specific City Services or Departments

702 Grouped Responses

NSR grouped responses for the open-ended questions in order that they may be reported quantitatively.






Utility Service Ratings

Utility Quality Rating

8 out of **10** participants rated the quality of College Station utilities as excellent or good.






Quality of Life

Quality of Life Characteristics in College Station

9 out of **10** participants rated College Station as a place to live and raise a family as excellent or good.



What do You Value Most About Living in College Station?

1,496 Grouped Responses



What Would You Say Should Be College Station's Highest Priority?

1,567 Grouped Responses

31%

CITY – balanced budget, managed growth, sustainability, maintain small town feel, quality growth/development

24%

TRAFFIC – reduce congestion, alternative transportation methods

22%

PUBLIC SAFETY

10%

JOB CREATION

8%

MAINTAIN STREETS/ROADS

6%

EDUCATION – Maintain quality education opportunities, support TAMU

4%

PARKS/RECREATION – maintain/grow P&R opportunities, create bike/pedestrian friendly city, provide culture/art events


What Types of Retail and Commercial Development Would You Like to See in College Station?

Word Cloud



What Types of Retail and Commercial Development Would You Like to See in College Station??


1,405 Grouped Responses



2016 College Station Citizen Satisfaction Survey Conducted by: National Service Research May 2016


How Likely Are You to Recommend College Station as a Place to Live?

92% of all respondents in 2016 are likely to recommend College Station as a place to live



College Station – Moving in the Right Direction as a Community?

85% of all respondents in 2016 agree College Station is moving in the right direction, a **3%** increase since 2012.






Importance of Community Characteristics

Community Characteristics – Importance Rating - TOP TEN

Q. How important to you are the following community characteristics?

Q. Which THREE characteristics are the MOST important to you?

The graph below depicts the respondents rating (very important/important) of each community characteristic. The importance rank lists the community characteristics in rank order based upon the respondents first, second and third most important characteristic.




Community Characteristics – Importance Rating – RANK 11-20

Q. How important to you are the following community characteristics?

Q. Which THREE characteristics are the MOST important to you?

The graph below depicts the respondents rating (very important/important) of each community characteristic. The importance rank lists the community characteristics in rank order based upon the respondents first, second and third most important characteristic.



If You Could Change One Thing About College Station, What Would it Be?

1,608 Grouped Responses






City Employees and Service


Rating of City Employee Contact



52% of all respondents in 2016 have had contact with a city employee within the past 12 months.
85% reported the contact with city employees was excellent or good.



How Could the City's Customer Service be Improved?


368 Grouped Responses





Public Safety

How Safe do you Feel in your Neighborhood?



96% reported they feel very or somewhat safe in their neighborhood, a **3%** increase since 2012

2016

0.2%
Not Safe

4%
Somewhat unsafe

37%
Somewhat safe

59%
Very safe

2012

1%
Not Safe


6%
Somewhat unsafe

40%
Somewhat safe

53%
Very safe


Crime in College Station

51% reported they feel crime is increasing, no change since 2012.





Communication





City Government Communication

Q. How do you PREFER to get information about city government activities?


Q. Which THREE methods are the MOST important to you?

The graph below depicts the percentage of how respondents prefer to get information about city government activities. The importance rank lists the communications methods in rank order based upon the respondents first, second and third most preferred method.

Q. How do you prefer to get information about city government activities?

IMPORTANCE

RANK



How Could the City Improve its Public Communication Efforts?



Word Cloud



60% of respondents reported they receive enough information about city programs, activities and events

How Could the City Improve its Public Communication Efforts?

666 Grouped Responses






Municipal Facilities


Quality Rating of Municipal Facilities

Percentages exclude no opinion responses..




Importance Rating – Additional Facilities to be Provided by the City

87% of all respondents reported that youth activities and programs were important to be provided by the city.



Comments about College Station's Municipal Buildings and Facilities

235 Grouped Responses



Benchmark Data

Benchmark Data

- ✓ In order to provide College Station a reference of how the city is performing, benchmark data is presented for peer cities and the State of Texas
- ✓ These peer city municipal surveys were conducted from 2014 to 2016. The peer cities included are listed below:
 - ✓ Flower Mound 2014
 - ✓ Midland 2014
 - ✓ The Woodlands 2014
 - ✓ Round Rock 2014
 - ✓ New Branfels 2015
 - ✓ Pearland 2015
 - ✓ Baytown 2015
 - ✓ Lewisville 2015
 - ✓ Sugar Land 2015
 - ✓ North Richland Hills 2016
- ✓ In some cases not all cities listed above are included in the benchmark averages because some questions were not included in each municipal survey.

Benchmark Data

Quality of City Services - % for Excellent/Good Ratings

Highlighted columns indicate a drop in ratings from 2012 to 2016

Characteristic	College Station 2016	College Station 2012	Peer Cities	Texas
Fire Services	93%	93%	91%	89%
Police Services	86	93	84	83
City's Customer Service	85	89	77	77
Trash and Recycling	84	86	89	83
Wastewater Services	81	92	81	77
Water Services	80	92	82	78
Electric Utility	77	89	81	76
Maintaining Appearance of Parks	75	79	86	83
Library Services	75	77	87	84
Special Events	74	77	73	73
Variety of Youth Recreation Programs	69	78	65	62

Benchmark Data

Quality of City Services - % for Excellent/Good Ratings


Highlighted columns indicate a drop in ratings from 2012 to 2016

Characteristic	College Station 2016	College Station 2012	Peer Cities	Texas
Stormwater Drainage	68%	79%	71%	66%
Animal Control	66	70	68	65
Enforcing Traffic Laws	63	74	68	68
Biking/Walking Facilities	56	70	64	62
Variety of Adult Recreation Programs	56	78	61	57
Senior Citizen Services	56	67	64	64
Code Enforcement	53	65	60	55
Crime Prevention	50	58	-	-
Maintaining Streets/Roads	48	71	60	56
Managing Traffic Congestion	28	50	49	49

Benchmark Data

Public Safety – Feel Safe in Your Neighborhood?

Feeling of safety in your neighborhood increased **3%** from 2012 to 2016



Benchmark Data

Quality of Life - % for Excellent/Good Ratings

Highlighted percentages indicate an increase in ratings from 2012 to 2016

Characteristic	College Station 2016	College Station 2012	Peer Cities	Texas
Your City as a Place to Live	91%	93%	89%	84%
Recommend Your City as Place to Live	91	92	90	86
Your City as a Place to Raise a Family	89	93	88	78
Your City's Overall Image/Reputation	87	80	80	79
Your Neighborhood as a Place to Live	86	87	79	78
Quality of City Services	84	85	82	77
Your City as a Place to Work	80	77	71	65
Your City as a Place to do Business	79	74	-	57
Your City as a Place to Retire	74	77	76	68
Value of City Services for Tax Dollars	68	69	62	59




Respondent Demographics



Respondent Demographics


Gender




Own vs. Rent



Years Lived in College Station



Respondent Age



Respondent Demographics



Age Groups of Children



Household Size



Housing Type



Respondent Demographics

Attended Texas A&M or Blinn College?

Neither
74%

23%



3%



Highest Education Received

36% Graduate/advanced degree

38% Graduated college

2% Completed technical school

19% Some college/technical 56

5% High School



Conclusions

Conclusions – Top Candidates for Improvement

Manage Traffic Congestion
(GAP 70%, Importance Rank #3)



Maintaining Streets/Roads
(GAP 51%, Importance Rank #4)



Biking/walking facilities
(GAP 30%, Importance Rank #7)




Programs to retain/support businesses
(GAP 45%, Importance Rank #8)



Enforcing traffic laws
(GAP 26%, Importance Rank #9)



Conclusions – Quality of Life / Safety



- ✓ **9** out of **10** respondents rated College Station as an EXCELLENT or GOOD place to live.
- ✓ **9** out of **10** respondents rated College Station as an EXCELLENT or GOOD place to raise a family.
- ✓ **9** out of **10** are likely to recommend College Station as a place to live.

96% feel very or somewhat safe in their neighborhood

Conclusions

- It was clear that throughout the report that managing traffic is a high priority among residents.
- Although several city service ratings were down since 2012, **85%** of all respondents in 2016 agree College Station is **moving in the right direction**, a **3%** increase since 2012.
- The study showed an increase in ratings among residents for:
 - **UP 7%** - The City's overall reputation and image
 - **UP 5%** - The City as a place to do business
 - **UP 5%** - Attracting tourism
 - **UP 4%** - Attracting business and jobs
 - **UP 3%** - The city as a place to work
 - **UP 1%** - Programs to retain and support existing businesses
- The top five (in rank order) community characteristics of most importance to residents that should receive focus from the city:
 - #1 Ease of car travel around town
 - #2 Availability of medical/health facilities
 - #3 Job opportunities
 - #4 Availability of quality affordable housing
 - #5 Overall appearance of College Station

Conclusions – WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?

Communicate

- Community
- Employees

Use results to inform decision making

- Budgeting
- Resource Allocation/
Planning

National Service Research

Contact: Andrea Thomas, Owner

2601 Ridgmar Plaza, Suite 2

Fort Worth, Texas 76116

817-312-3606

e-mail: andrea@nationalservicereseach.com

web site: www.nationalservicereseach.com

National Service Research (NSR), founded in 1989, is a full-service market research consulting firm and conducts market studies for the public and private sector. NSR conducts various types of consumer and business research including focus groups and surveys nationwide. NSR's owner and founder, Andrea Thomas, over thirty-five years of professional market research experience.



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 16-0370 **Version:** 1 **Name:** RVP Compliance Report
Type: Updates **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 6/8/2016 **In control:** City Council Workshop
On agenda: 6/23/2016 **Final action:**
Title: Presentation, possible action, and discussion regarding the 2015 Compliance Report by the Research Valley Partnership.
Sponsors: Jennifer Prochazka
Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments:

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

Presentation, possible action, and discussion regarding the 2015 Compliance Report by the Research Valley Partnership.

Relationship to Strategic Goals:

- Diverse Growing Economy

Recommendation(s): None; no action is required.

Summary: This item is in response to a City Council request for information from the Research Valley Partnership (RVP) regarding project compliance.

Budget & Financial Summary: N/A

Attachments: N/A